EXHIBIT H

Exhibit H

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3)(A) and the Amended Docket Control Order, Defendants AT&T Mobility LLC, Sprint/United Management Company, T-Mobile USA, Inc., and Cellco Partnership d/b/a Verizon Wireless ("Defendants") and Intervenors HTC Corporation and HTC America, Inc. ("Intervenors") hereby serve the following designations of trial testimony from *Salazar v. HTC Corp.*, No. 2:16-cv-01096-JRG (E.D. Tex.).

Defendants and Intervenors reserve the right to modify, amend, or supplement these designations in response to rulings by the Court (including on any motions).

Testimony of Roy A. Griffin III – May 7, 2018 (P.M. Session)					
Defs' and Intervenors' Designations	Plaintiff's Objections	Plaintiff's Counter Designations	Defs' and Intervenors' Objections to Plaintiff's Counter Designations	Court Ruling	
168:5-169:10	401/402, 403P, 403M, 802, BSD, CC, MIL	169:11-12			

Testimony of Roy A. Griffin III – May 8, 2018 (P.M. Session)					
Defs' and Intervenors' Designations	Plaintiff's Objections	Plaintiff's Counter Designations	Defs' and Intervenors' Objections to Plaintiff's Counter Designations	Court Ruling	
8:25-9:9	401/402, 403P, 403M,	9:10-16			
	802, BSD, CC, MIL				
9:17-20	401/402, 403P, 403M, 802, BSD, CC, MIL				
10:2-23	401/402, 403P, 403M,				
	802, BSD, CC, MIL				

KEY	SALAZAR'S OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION DESIGNATION
106	Optional completeness required to put statement in proper context
401/402	Lacks relevance
403P	Prejudice outweighs relevance
403M	Evidence could mislead jury or confuse issues
403C	Cumulative evidence
408	Settlement communications
602F	Lacks proper foundation
602P	Witness lacks personal knowledge
701/702	Improper or unreliable opinion testimony
703	Inadmissible evidence disclosed as basis for expert opinion
802	Hearsay
805	Hearsay within hearsay
901	Lacks authenticity
MIL	Subject to motion in limine
ARG	Scope/Form: Argumentative
AF	Scope/Form: Assumes facts not in evidence
COM	Scope/Form: Compound
MIS	Scope/Form: Misquoting/mischaracterizing prior testimony

Case 2:20-cv-00004-JRG Document 198-8 Filed 03/10/21 Page 5 of 5 PageID #: 5596

NAR	Scope/Form: Narrative
NR	Scope/Form: Non-responsive
S	Scope/Form: Speculation
LQ	Scope/Form: Leading question
LC	Scope/Form: Legal conclusion
PRIV	Scope/Form: Privileged
R	Scope/Form: Repetitive/asked and answered
IP	Scope/Form: Improper Impeachment
VA	Scope/Form: Vague/Ambiguous
ATTNY	Attorney objections, side-bar remarks or argument not removed
PER	Testimony by 30(b)(6) designee outside scope of noticed topics
BSD	Beyond scope of discovery order
DNE	Cited lines do not exist
CC	Expert testimony based on claim constructions not applicable in this case